

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,388	HAMAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David K. O'Dell	1625	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) David K. O'Dell.

(3) _____.

(2) Louis Del Juidice .

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 October 2008

Time: 1:00 P.M.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

-

Claims discussed:

-

Prior art documents discussed:

-

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed a minor amendment to clarify that the instant claims do not encompass the non-bridged saturated heterocycles which were not elected. After a consultation with his client Mr. Del Juidice agreed to the amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David K. O'Dell/
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)